

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 6	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0004		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 17-Jul-2010		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY AFGHANISTAN DISTRICT SOUTH (AES) US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS APO AE 09355		CODE W5J9LE		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">See Item 6</div>			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W5J9LE-10-R-0058			
				X 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 06-Jun-2010			
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.			
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)			
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this amendment is to revise section 00113 (Procedures for Submittal of Offers and Proposal Evaluation Criteria) and provide answers to questions posed by potential offerors during the solicitation period. This receipt date for offers is not extended as a result of this amendment. The receipt hour and date remains at 2:00 p.m. (local Afghanistan time), July 24, 2010. See continuation page for detail.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)				BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)			

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

The following have been added by full text:

1. Delete paragraph 4.1.3.1 of Section 00113 in its entirety and replace with the following:

4.1.3.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Each offeror shall must ensure a past performance questionnaire is submitted for each of the projects referenced in Section 4.1.1. The offeror should forward the past performance questionnaire to a responsible Point of Contact (POC) who meets the following criteria:

- a. Familiar with the project, but not affiliated with the offeror.
- b. Can provide an independent evaluation of the offeror's performance on the referenced project.
- c. Can be contacted by the Government to verify the submission.

The questionnaire must be forwarded to the Government by the person completing the evaluation and NOT directly from the offeror. However, it is the offeror's responsibility to ensure the past performance questionnaire is completed in its entirety and received by the Government not later than the proposal due date. Questionnaires received after the proposal due date may not be considered.

2. Delete paragraph 4.1.3.2 of Section 00113 in its entirety and replace with the following:

4.1.3.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

The Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB) will evaluate the past performance questionnaires received. To be found technically acceptable under this evaluation factor, a past performance questionnaire—documenting successful performance--must be received for each project submitted in response to Section 4.1.1. The questionnaire must be submitted by the person providing the evaluation, and not the offeror. The Government reserves the right to contact the questionnaire POC for verification of the submission. If the Government opts to contact the POC, that POC must be able to provide a positive confirmation of the offeror's performance within a reasonable time (48 hours or less) after the request is rendered, otherwise the Government will consider that project's past performance invalid, thereby rendering the proposal technically unacceptable under this evaluation factor.

3. Add paragraph 4.4 to Section 00113 as follows:

4.4 SOURCE SELECTION DECISION

The Source Selection Authority (SSA) will make an independent source selection decision using the findings presented by the SSEB. The SSA is not necessarily bound by the evaluation findings of the SSEB and reserves the right to review all available resources such as CPARS, CCASS, ACASS, PPIMS, Dun & Bradstreet, etc. to establish the overall acceptability of an offer using price and non-price factors prior to making award.

4. Add past performance questionnaire on the following page to Section 00113 as referenced in Paragraphs 4.1.3.1 & 4.1.3.2:

Past Performance Questionnaire

Contractor Name:	
Project Title:	
Contract Number and Location:	
Period of Performance:	
Approximate Dollar Value:	
Name, Title, Email Address Of Person Completing This Evaluation	
Brief Description of Project	

1. Overall, how would you rate the quality of work provided?

- | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Outstanding | <input type="radio"/> Marginal |
| <input type="radio"/> Good | <input type="radio"/> Unacceptable |
| <input type="radio"/> Satisfactory | |

2. Overall, how would you rate the timeliness of the work performed?

- | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Outstanding | <input type="radio"/> Marginal |
| <input type="radio"/> Good | <input type="radio"/> Unacceptable |
| <input type="radio"/> Satisfactory | |

3. How would you rate the cost effectiveness of work performed?

- | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Outstanding | <input type="radio"/> Marginal |
| <input type="radio"/> Good | <input type="radio"/> Unacceptable |
| <input type="radio"/> Satisfactory | |

4. How would you rate performance providing a safe working environment?

- | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Outstanding | <input type="radio"/> Marginal |
| <input type="radio"/> Good | <input type="radio"/> Unacceptable |
| <input type="radio"/> Satisfactory | |

5. How would you rate overall cooperation of the contractor?

- | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Outstanding | <input type="radio"/> Marginal |
| <input type="radio"/> Good | <input type="radio"/> Unacceptable |
| <input type="radio"/> Satisfactory | |

6. How would you rate overall commitment to customer satisfaction?

- | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Outstanding | <input type="radio"/> Marginal |
| <input type="radio"/> Good | <input type="radio"/> Unacceptable |
| <input type="radio"/> Satisfactory | |

7. If you had the opportunity would you hire or work with this contractor again?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Yes | <input type="radio"/> No |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|

8. Additional Comments (Please continue on a separate page if necessary):

4. The following questions and answers are provided for clarification to the existing solicitation:

Q1: The pumping of water into the system describe in section 01015 is so complicated, section 01015 call for service boosting system and Hydro pneumatic tanks with compressed air system and one day water demand capacity. These two systems will not work together as per our design experience, either booster system with jockey pump or small pneumatic tank shall be used or Hydro pneumatic tanks with compressed air system shall be used with separate storage tanks.

Hydro pneumatic tanks or pressurized tanks with compressed air system for one day water demand are not possible to be constructed since the one day demand is 855cum. Please confirm which system we can price, service booster or Hydro pneumatic tanks or both systems. If we priced both systems it is not possible to be constructed on site and the proposal price will increase.

A1: The contractor is to design the water system per the RFP and Amendment 0003.

Q2: Is it possible to use different construction material for the above mentioned project. We would like to use Pre-Fabricated Building versus CMU material? We are using this material in one of our project in Iraq, it will provide tremendous benefits (cost savings) for the Government including schedule.

A2: No. All building materials must be used as stated in the RFP. No substitutions are allowed.

Q3: The location of the RMTC and BRIDMAL Storages are not shown on the site plan. Please advise us.

A3: The location of the RMTC Storage and Bridmal buildings are to be determined by the contractor within the RMTC compound. The RMTC Storage and Bridmal Buildings are required.

Q4: The relocation site of the existing ASP is not shown on the site plan and also the size of the ASP is not clear, is it in addition to the CLINS 0002 AT and 0002U.

A4: See sheet C-101, which shows the existing ASP and the relocation site with coordinates. The relocated ASP shall be the exact configuration of the existing ASP. CLINS 0004AI is the bid item for relocation of the existing ASP. All other bid items are associated with different construction items.

Q5: The existing ASP has one guard tower but the T/R calls for Guard towers, are we to provide 4 Guard Towers on the four corners?

A5: Provide guard towers for the relocated ASP per the existing ASP. Whatever number of guard towers are at the existing ASP, provide at the relocated ASP.

Q6: The size of the Generator is not mentioned, Please advise us.

A6: he sizes of any generators are to be designed/determined by the contractor in accordance with the requirements in the RFP.

Q7: The volume or quantity of the ammunition that will be moved to the new site is not clear please clarify.

A7: The Contractor is not responsible for moving any ammunition; the FOB Shorabak will move all ammunition. The ammunition storage for the relocated ASP shall match the existing ammunition storage at the existing ASP.

Q8: Given that the duration of this design-build project is only 540days (18months), it seems that the scheduling required in the RFP is going to make it very difficult to construction in that timeframe. According to Amendment 2, we are to complete the construction in a predetermined sequence. If we are limited to starting some important element concurrently, it will take much longer than 18 months. Our biggest concern is that no other work can start until the waste water treatment plant has been commissioned. Can we start work on the following areas concurrently with the waste water treatment plant construction:

A8: The contract shall remain at 540 day period of performance. The WWTP should be operational prior to completion of other facilities. It is the intent that the WWTP be operational prior to any turn over or occupancy of other facilities/buildings.

Q9: Why does the ASP need complete first if nothing is out in the area?

A9: Conceptual master plans are provided for graphical display. The existing ASP falls within the limitations of work. A new one is to be constructed, materials moved and old one removed in accordance with the RFP.

Q10: In Amendment #2 Step #4, it says construct all buildings labeled as Phase 1 on the master plan. However, on the new master plan there is no indication of what is included in Phase 1. Can you please clarify what is included in Phase 1 work and how much of this work needs to be completed before we can begin the subsequent phases.

A10: Phase 1 – is all work, to include utilities to meet the requirements of the contract.

Q11: Amendment #2, page 4 states:

The Contractor shall adhere to the following order of work for construction:

1. Contractor shall relocate ASP.
2. Contractor shall grade site and construct perimeter fence.
3. Contractor shall construct waste water treatment plant.
4. Contractor shall construct wells and fully construct all buildings labeled as Phase 1 Construction in the Master Plan in Appendix A. Phase 1 Construction shall include grading and complete building construction with utility stubs to 1.5 meters outside of building.

In response to the above sequence, If we assuming #1 takes one month, #2 takes 2 months assuming it does NOT include Underground Utilities. Can #1 & #2 be performed during design phase?

A11: Demolition plan and other designs to complete the work will have to be provided prior to commencement of construction.

Q12: If underground utilities are included it will take an additional 3 months 1/1-4/3/11, to complete the u/g utilities. How much overlap in the schedule must we have before we can start the WWTP?

A12: A design for the WWTP must be approved prior to construction. The site for the WWTP must be cleared. If the contractor can work the ASP relocation, site adapt facilities and WWTP at the same time then a plan with schedule to show all can be done has to be submitted and approved.

Q13: How complete must the WWTP be done before we can start construction of all the buildings in Phase 1? Does the WWTP need to be fully commissioned and approved before we can start Phase 1 construction?

A13: See response to question Q8, “The contract shall remain at 540 day period of performance. The WWTP should be operational prior to completion of other facilities. It is the intent that the WWTP be operational prior to any turn over or occupancy of the other facilities.”

Q14: In Amendment #3 CLIN 0002AH is Miscellaneous Facilities 1 LS with no listing on the master plan?

A14: Miscellaneous Facilities is to catch items such as and not limited to clothes lines & other structures associated with the project as described in the 01010 and 01015 but not shown on the concept master plan.

Q15: In the original solicitation document (page 9 of 69, second paragraph) it is stated: “The basis of award is Lowest Price Technically Acceptable.”. However, in Amendment 003 (page 6 of 6, item number 6) it is stated: “The award will be made to the Offeror whose proposal represents the best overall value to the Government”. Please clarify which evaluation criteria is used in this solicitation.

A15: The basis of award is lowest price, technically acceptable. Section 00113 contains the evaluation criteria that will be used for the selection. Item number 6 is for Evaluation of Options as stated.

(End of Summary of Changes)